(3)

CH/OOH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P NO 3568 /2003

PETITIONER:

Manish Kumar Tripathi
S/o Late Sri D P Tripathi
aged about 34 years,
Post Bharridad Marwahi
Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

:Versus:

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary,
Education Department Mantralay
DKS Bhawan, Raipur(C.G)

2. Joint Director,
Office of Joint Director,
Public Education Sarguja Distt.
Ambikapur(CG)

3. Dy. Director, Education,
Baikunthpur, Distt. Korea
Chhattisgarh

P. R. No. 3332/03
Presented by Shri Vinod Deshmukh
dated 30.10.03

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3568/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

11.2.2004

Petitioner by Shri Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate.

Respondents by Miss Sharmila Singhai, Deputy Govt. Advocate.

The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents. The case of the petitioner is that he is son of late Shri D.P.Tripathi who was working with the Respondent No.3 at Baikunthpur on the post of Head Clerk. He died on 21.11.1997 while he was in service leaving behind no other earning family members, therefore family of late D.P.Tripathi is suffering great hardship to maintain itself. The petitioner is educated up to B.Sc., M.A. and B. Ed. thereby he is fully qualified for the post of Lecturer. He made an application on 26.12.1997 to the Respondent No.3, for compassionate appointment, who forwarded the application to the Respondent no.2 vide letter dt. 2.2.1998 (Annexure P/3). Thereafter the petitioner was making representations to the respondents but the respondents have not appointed the petitioner, though he is fully qualified. Therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Respondent No.2 and the Respondent No.2 may be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to the Respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today and in turn the Respondent No.2 will decide the representation within a further period of three months considering all the points raised by the petitioner in the representation, by passing a reasoned order in accordance with the orders, rules and regulations relating to compassionate appointment.

With the above observations this petition is disposed of finally.

Consequently I.A.No. 102224/2003 stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Thakur

7/6/08

[पीछे देखिये